UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,850	09/24/2004	Bruce N. Nelson	SAIC0135	8704
75131 7590 10/07/2010 KING & SPALDING LLP (SAIC CUSTOMER NUMBER) ATTN: DAWN-MARIE BEY			EXAMINER	
			FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G	
SUITE 200	0 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW ITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dbey@KSLAW.COM mblasik@kslaw.com jpaolella-bald@kslaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/508,850	NELSON ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of hut it does not be a proper reply was received an hut it does not be a proper reply was received an hut it does not be a proper reply was received an hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a) hut it does not be a proper reply (a)	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on					
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated)</li> </ul>						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37).	iired by, and within the three-month μ	period set in, the Notice of				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court reviev				
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:						
The Examiner contacted Dawn-Marie Bey (Reg. No sent.	. 44,442) on 9/27/2010 and confi	rmed that no response has been				
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				